ORIGINAL

IN THE MATTER OF:

PERMIT BY RULE FOR BOILERS:) R17-9 AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM.) CODE PARTS 201 AND 211)

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled meeting before Chairman Jason James, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, for the State of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois, on the 26th day of October, 2016.

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV - 2 2016

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Page 1

		UCLOBER 26, 2016	
\bigcirc			Page 2
	1	APPEARANCES	
	2	MR. JERRY KEENAN, Chairman MR. JASON JAMES, Board Member	
	3	MS. JENNIFER BURKE, Board Member MR. JERRY O'LEARY, Board Member	
	4	MR. THOMAS JOHNSON, Board Member	
	5	ALSO PRESENT, BOARD TECHNICAL STAFF: MR. ANAND RAO	
	6	MS. ALISA LIU	
	7	ALSO PRESENT: MS. LYNN HEDGES	
	8	MR. RORY DAVIS	
	9	MR. DAVID BLOOMBERG MR. ALEC DAVIS	
	10	MS. ANTONETTE PALUMBO MS. RACHEL DOCTORS	
	11	MS. JACKIE SIMS MR. SCOTT MARSIK	
$\left(\right)$	12		
	13	L.	
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
1.	24		
\bigcirc	L		

	Page
	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Good
	afternoon. Welcome to the Illinois Pollution
	Control Board hearing. My name is Jason James and
	I'm the Hearing Officer for this rulemaking
	proceeding entitled Permit By Rule for Boilers:
5	Amendments to 35 Ill. Adm. Code Parts 201 and 211.
	The Board docket for this rulemaking is R17-9.
	This hearing is being conducted
	by a teleconference between Chicago and
	Springfield. Also present today from the Board
	are Chairman Jerry Keenan, the lead Board member
	for this rulemaking; Board Member Tom Johnson;
	Member Jerry O'Leary and Member Jennifer Burke.
	Also present from the Board's staff is Ms. Alisa
,	Liu and Mr. Anand Rao of the Board's Technical
5	Unit.
	As background for today's
	hearing, the Illinois Environmental Protection
,	Agency filed this rulemaking proposal with the
)	Board on August 23rd, 2016. The Board accepted
	the proposal for hearing on September 8th, 2016.
2	A Hearing Officer order dated September 22nd,
3	2016, scheduled this hearing, the first of this
	rulemaking. That Hearing Officer order also set a

Г

	Page 4
1	deadline of October 12th, 2016, to pre-file
2	testimony for this hearing.
3	The Board received pre-filed
4	testimony regarding IEPA's proposal from Mr. Rory
5	Davis on October 12th, 2016. I entered another
6	Hearing Officer order on October 19th, 2016,
7	posing questions by Board members and Board staff
8	for IEPA's witness, which will be discussed today.
9	The Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group also
10	pre-filed questions for IEPA's witness on October
11	19th, 2016. I'd like to note for the record that
12	anybody who did not pre-file testimony may testify
13	or offer comments today.
14	We have representatives from
15	Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group, IERG,
16	here in Chicago. Is anybody else in Chicago or in
17	Springfield going to testify, planning to testify
18	or offer comment today? Okay. I don't see
19	anybody here in Chicago or Springfield. I'll just
20	check in again at the end of today's hearing to
21	make sure nobody arrives in the interim.
22	This proceeding is governed by
23	the Board's procedural rules. All information
24	that is relevant and not repetitious or privileged

	Page
1	will be admitted into the record. Any questions
2	posed today by the Board and its members or staff
3	are intended solely to assist in developing a
4	clear and complete record for the Board's decision
5	and don't reflect any prejudgment or bias
6	concerning the proposal.
7	We'll begin this hearing with
8	the pre-filed testimony of IEPA's witness. We
9	will enter that pre-filed testimony into the
10	record as if read. Next we will have the witness
11	sworn in and allow him to give a brief summary of
12	the pre-filed testimony if he wishes. After that,
13	we will turn to questions regarding that witness's
14	testimony. Anyone may question IEPA's witness and
15	any members of the public present will be given
16	first opportunity to pose questions. The Board or
17	staff will then ask any follow-up questions they
18	have. Please note that the Board may raise
19	additional follow-up questions at the second
20	hearing in this document currently scheduled for
21	November 16th, 2016.
22	After the testimony and
23	questions for IEPA's witness, we will allow anyone
24	else to testify and as time allows the Board may

5

. [
	Page 6
1	receive public comments on the proposal. For the
2	benefit of the court reporter transcribing today's
3	proceeding, please speak clearly and avoid
4	speaking at the same time as another person so
5	that we can help produce a clear transcript. This
6	is especially important because today's hearing is
7	being held over teleconference. So just be
8	extra annunciate extra well and speak a little
9	more slowly.
10	Does anybody have any questions
11	at all about today's proceeding? Okay. Hearing
12	none
13	MR. KEENAN: Just for the record
14	we're on videoconference, not teleconference.
15	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Does the
16	agency or any Board member have opening
17	statements?
18	MS. DOCTORS: The agency this is
19	Rachel Doctors speaking, assistant counsel for
20	Illinois EPA in this regulatory matter. I believe
21	that the Hearing Officer has covered what I would
22	cover and the witnesses Rory Davis' testimony
23	is going to be admitted as read. So we are ready
24	to proceed with questions.

Γ

	Page 7
1	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay.
2	That's good. Turning to that pre-filed testimony
3	if there are no objections we will enter the
4	pre-filed testimony into the record as if read as
5	provided in the September 22nd, 2016, Hearing
6	Officer order. I don't see any objections here in
7	Chicago. Are there any objections from
8	Springfield? Okay. Hearing no objection, we'll
9	enter the testimony into the record as if read.
10	All right.
11	Then, Ms. Doctors, please
12	introduce yourself and the witness just for the
13	record so we can proceed to swear him in and then
14	begin with questions.
15	MS. DOCTORS: My name is Rachel
16	Doctors. I'm assistant counsel with the Illinois
17	Environmental Protection Agency and today
18	testifying we have Rory Davis who is an engineer
19	in the air quality planning section and David
20	Bloomberg who will also assist who is manager of
21	the air quality planning section in the Bureau of
22	Air for the Environmental Protection Agency.
23	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. And,
24	with that, would the court reporter please swear

•	Page 8
1	in the witness.
2	WHEREUPON:
3	RORY DAVIS and DAVID BLOOMBERG
4	called as witnesses herein, having been first duly
5	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
6	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. Does
7	any member of the public in Chicago I don't
8	see nobody in Chicago arrived since last time I
9	asked, but did anybody in Springfield, member of
10	the public, have any questions for IEPA? Okay.
11	Seeing none, as I mentioned before, IERG pre-filed
12	questions for IEPA and at this time I'll, barring
13	objection, enter them into the record as if read
14	so we don't have to repeat them right here and
15	now. Okay.
16	Without any objection to that,
17	we can go ahead and proceed to IEPA's responses to
18	IERG's questions.
19	MS. DOCTORS: Excuse me. Can we
20	start with this is Rachel Doctors speaking.
21	Can we start with the Board's
22	questions first?
23	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Sure.
24	That's fine.

$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}$	Page 9
	MS. DOCTORS: And is there a
	preference whether we read the question or we just
	say question one and then proceed with the answer,
2	does the Board have a preference on that?
t.	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: I think that
(we could probably just proceed without restating
-	the question unless anybody here or in Springfield
{	has an objection to that. It doesn't appear that
(anybody in Chicago has any objection and I didn't
10	hear anybody in Springfield who has an objection.
13	So let's go ahead and just go straight to the
12	answers without reading the questions again.
13	MS. DOCTORS: Thank you. Then I'm
14	going to turn it over to Rory Davis and David
15	Bloomberg to start with answering the questions.
10	MR. DAVIS: Can I pause for a moment
1	for people to finish reading the questions?
18	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Sure. Let's
19	take just a few minutes and go off the record to
20	have allow people to read the questions.
23	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
22	off the record.)
23	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Before we
24	proceed, I'll just officially enter the Board's
a de la constante de	

	Page 10
1	questions into the record as if read. So with
2	that out of the way, we can move onto the Agency's
3	responses to the Board's questions.
4	MR. DAVIS: Okay. The Agency
5	response to question 1A, the review of a regular
6	application for construction permit entails a
7	project-specific technical review by a permit
8	engineer usually leading to the development of a
9	draft permit.
10	A construction permit is
11	developed with conditions that are specifically
12	crafted to address the unit that is being
13	proposed. Because each construction permit must
14	be project-specific, a draft of the permit is sent
15	to the applicant for its review and comments
16	before a permit is issued.
17	However, the review of PBR
18	notifications will primarily be an administrative
19	review for completeness. A necessary as
20	necessary, a more technical review may be
21	performed. However, this review will not involve
22	the preparation of a project-specific permit.
23	The response for question 1B,
24	for regular applications if the applicant does not

	Page 1
1	seek expedited review of the application for a
2	special fee, the Agency has 90 days by statute for
3	processing of a construction application.
4	Question 1C, the answer is yes.
5	The Agency anticipates that boiler notification
6	review will take less time than a regular permit
7	application. Illinois EPA will endeavor to
8	perform completeness reviews within 30 days.
9	MR. RAO: Can I ask a follow-up on
0	that response?
1	MR. DAVIS: Sure.
2	MR. RAO: I think will the Agency
3	notify the applicant in this case whoever is
4	providing you the notification that whether
5	their notification is complete or not within 30
6	days?
7	MR. BLOOMBERG: This is David
8	Bloomberg. I think that's what Rory's answer was.
9	We will endeavor to perform a completeness review
0	within 30 days.
1	MR. RAO: And if it's not complete,
2	will you send a notification to the applicant?
3	MR. BLOOMBERG: That is the intent.
4	MR. RAO: Is that intent reflected

I

	Page 12
1	in the rules?
2	MR. BLOOMBERG: No.
3	MR. RAO: Should that
4	MR. BLOOMBERG: The rule the rule
5	simply states that as the proposed rule simply
6	states that the company will be notified that the
7	application has been received. The Agency, the
8	Bureau of Air, is currently working on internal
9	procedures, but as of now there is nothing in the
10	rule that says a completeness review must be done
11	because it is our understanding the industry is
12	more interested in moving forward as quickly as
13	possible once a PBR is submitted and if a company
14	is concerned that they do not want to go forward
15	without having a specific stamp of approval by the
16	Agency, then they can go the normal construction
17	permit route.
18	So the Agency will endeavor to
19	perform the review and put it in the notification,
20	but since it's unknown exactly how this will all
21	go and how much how many of these we will get,
22	I think we would like to keep it just say "You
23	will get a notification," which is what was agreed
24	upon with industry representatives as this rule

Page 13 1 was being discussed. 2 MR. RAO: When you say notification, 3 are you talking about the acknowledgment that the 4 Agency sends that they have received the 5 notification? 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. Sorry. I 7 misused the term notification. An acknowledgment. 8 MR. RAO: And that is required 9 within 30 days of you receiving the notification 10 from an applicant, is that correct? 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. In the 12 proposed rule, yes. 13 MR. RAO: So within 30 days if you 14 have performed a completeness review, would it be 15 burdensome for the Agency to also indicate whether 16 the notification is complete in that 17 acknowledgement? 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: No, the plan is 19 currently after speaking to the permit section and 20 the Bureau of Air management, the plan is in that 21 acknowledgment to state that the application was 22 complete or if it wasn't complete then to state 23 that as well, but that is an internal plan at this 24 point and we did not believe it would be necessary

٢

	Page 14
1	to put that in the rule.
2	MR. RAO: Okay.
3	MR. DAVIS: Moving on. The Agency's
4	response to question two.
5	To clarify, potential
6	environmental impact from PBR units is not
7	eliminated, but it is as stated eliminated beyond
8	what would be expected if a source applied for a
9	construction permit. The proposed amendments
10	include requirements that are as stringent or more
11	stringent than the requirements for units of this
12	type that would be included in a construction
13	permit if the current conventional permitting
14	processes were followed.
15	These regulatory provisions
16	include the limitations on the fuel type, the
17	emissions control requirements and the limitations
18	on the capacity of the unit. As such, the PBR
19	proposal does not increase or decrease the
20	applicable requirements for this type of emission
21	unit. It merely changes how the owner or operator
22	obtains authority to construct the emission unit.
23	Question three, the Illinois EPA
24	consulted with the Illinois Environmental

	······································
	Page 15
1	Regulatory Group who told us they had consulted
2	with their member companies and the Agency
3	incorporated their recommendations into the
4	proposal to the extent that they were approvable
5	by the United States EPA.
6	MR. BLOOMBERG: This is David
7	Bloomberg. I will be answering a few questions
8	here.
9	Question four. It is important
10	to note that each state has developed its own
11	permitting system and that within that framework
12	permit by rule procedures were then adopted to
13	address different issues in those states. So, for '
14	example, in Illinois, there are already several
15	mechanisms to reduce the burden on small sources
16	obtaining authority to build and operate,
17	including lifetime permits or ROSS, as well as a
18	number of exemptions from the permit requirements
19	set forth in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 201.146. Other
20	states have pursued these same goals through
21	different means.
22	With that said, based on the
23	Agency's investigation, especially since receiving
24	these questions, we have the following

- 11	Page 16
1	information. Ohio has a PBR program, but it does
2	not have the regulatory mechanisms I just
3	mentioned to reduce regulatory burdens on smaller
4	sources. Indiana has a PBR program, but requires
5	sources to first obtain a construction permit.
6	Minnesota does not have a permit by rule for air
7	emissions, but it does use permit by rule for
8	other types of facilities such as electronics
9	recycling. Wisconsin has a registration program
10	for smaller sources, but no PBR. Michigan does
11	not appear to have any flexible permitting
12	options. So either a source needs a permit or it
13	is exempt.
14	Response to question five. The
15	Illinois EPA agrees with the above change.
16	Response to question six. An
17	example that I have used in describing this
18	provision is suppose there is a printing facility
19	that wants to add another room to its print shop.
20	As part of this overall construction project, they
21	want to add a printer, a dryer for that printer,
22	an afterburner for the printer and a natural gas
23	boiler for the room. This source would not be
24	able to use permit by rule because the boiler is

5

ſ	Page 17
1	just one part of an overall construction project
2	that is all linked together and other units
3	involved in that construction project require
4	construction permits. As such, there is no
5	specific threshold for such a project other than
6	it would involve any other unit that needs a
7	construction permit.
8	Response to question seven. The
9	Agency has been evaluating additional PBR's and
10	will further do so by looking at the effectiveness
11	and utility of this PBR. Any future timeframe is
12	currently unknown.
13	Response to question eight.
14	Yes, plus also Subsection A-12. Sorry. That was
15	8A.
16	8B, the permit section does
17	intend to create a form. For the rest of that
18	question, the Agency is not currently able to have
19	the notification submitted electronically. We
20	appreciate the importance of such electronic
21	submittals and are evaluating it for this and
22	other purposes for the future.
23	8C, as I mentioned earlier in
24	response to other questions, the Agency will

		OCTODEL 20, 2010
\bigcirc	·	Page 18
	1	endeavor to have the acknowledgement indicate the
	2	completeness status of a notification.
	3	8D, if the Agency is unable to
	4	determine completeness prior to sending of
	5	acknowledgment of receipt, the applicant will
	6	likely only hear if and when the notification is
	7	found to be incomplete. However, again, as I
	8	mentioned, the intent is to include it in the
	9	30-day acknowledgment.
	10	MR. RAO: Just a clarification. If
	11	when the Agency completes the review and finds the
and the second s	12	notification incomplete, will the Agency notify
Same 1	13	the applicant that the notification is incomplete?
	14	MR. BLOOMBERG: If it's incomplete
	15	and it's determined to be incomplete before the
	16	acknowledgment is sent out, the intent is that the
	17	acknowledgment letter will say something to the
	18	effect of "We have received it. However, it is
	19	incomplete."
	20	MR. RAO: Okay.
	21	MR. ALEC DAVIS: Alec Davis.
	22	Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group.
	23	Will the Agency continue to
	24	conduct a completeness review after 30 days has

(

	Page 19	
1	elapsed for notifications or once the 30 days has	
2	elapsed will the Agency not be performing a	
3	completeness review?	
4	MR. BLOOMBERG: I presume you mean	
5	if we do not complete the completeness review	
6	within the 30 days will it continue afterwards?	
7	MR. ALEC DAVIS: Correct.	
8	MR. BLOOMBERG: Again, just to	
9	restate, the intent is to complete it within the	
10	30 days. I don't know the answer to that	
11	otherwise. Another reminder that since a minor	
12	modification to the sources CAAPP permit is also	
13	submitted, even if it were not completed within 30	
14	days for some reason when it is put into the CAAPP	
15	permit obviously there will be review there as	
16	well.	
17	MR. RAO: Will that happen after the	
18	construction is complete or the boiler is already	
19	installed in place?	
20	MR. BLOOMBERG: In all likelihood,	
21	but also based on our understanding of how sources	
22	intend to or at least some sources intend to	
23	use PBR, construction may be complete before 30	
24	days are up. In many cases, sources may be	

	Page 20
1	complete I'm sorry. Construction may be
2	complete before we even receive the notification
3	if you know, in certain emergency situations.
4	MR. RAO: Okay.
5	MR. BLOOMBERG: Response to question
6	nine. Yes, degreed construction of an emission
7	unit is considered a modification under Part 201.
8	MR. DAVIS: This is Rory Davis.
9	Question ten, responsible official is defined in
10	39.5 Section 39.5 of the Act. If the Board
11	believes it would be clearer to note this
12	reference in the rule, we can do so, the Agency
13	can do that.
14	Question 11, the answer is not
15	necessarily. I believe we have discussed this in
16	previous answers. The purpose of the PBR
17	procedure is to allow owners and operators to
18	proceed once they have certified that they have
19	satisfied the applicability and notification
20	requirements. Question 12.
21	MR. RAO: I think the question was
22	the applicant submits the notification with the
23	certification and after the Agency receives the
24	notification as a part of your review if you find

	Page 2
	it incomplete, will that unit have a PBR?
	MR. BLOOMBERG: If we find it
	incomplete, you're asking if they will have a PBR?
	MR. RAO: Yes.
	MR. BLOOMBERG: No, the rule
	specifically states that if a it must be a
1	complete application and I believe that the
	sources that we have discussed this with
	understand that they must ensure their application
	is complete when they submit it.
	MR. RAO: Okay.
	MR. DAVIS: Question 12. A new
	modification is required for a modification to a
	PBR unit even when the modification does not make
	it a major source for HAP's. The new unit
	sorry. The new notification will recertify that
	the modified unit is still applicable as a PBR
	unit under the applicable PBR subpart. Some
	modifications could increase the emissions level
	or change the process in such a way that may not
	allow the unit to remain under a PBR.
	MR. BLOOMBERG: Response to question
	13. There are cases in which sources need to
	begin operation of the emission unit immediately

		October 26, 2016
		Page 22
	1	which was one driver for the permit by rule
	2	proposal. Requiring a source notify the Agency
	3	ahead of time would interfere with that purpose.
	4	Additionally, circumstances may change. A
	5	contractor may believe they need two days, but end
	6	up only needing one or more likely they may need a
	7	full week. Startups definitely do not always go
	8	as planned.
	9	Response to question 14. This
	10	is a fairly standard condition for Stack Testing.
	11	It recognizes that time is necessary for the Stack
6	12	Testing company to gather all the necessary data,
	13	verify the data, analyze the data and write the
	14	report.
	15	Response to question 15. The
	16	Illinois EPA has no objection to the proposed
	17	change.
	18	MR. DAVIS: Question 16. Package
	19	boiler is usually a factory made boiler that can
	20	be manufactured in a range of specifications.
	21	Generally, a package boiler is a unit that can be
	22	installed and available for use fairly quickly.
	23	The Agency did not include a definition for
	24	packaged boilers in the proposed amendments, but
(A		

	Octobe:	r 26,	2016
--	---------	-------	------

Γ	
2	Page 23
1	the applicability for Subpart N201 and Section
2	201.600 does encompass package boilers.
3	16(a)(1), generally boilers that
4	would be considered package boilers would be made
5	by a boiler manufacturer and be delivered for use
6	needing to be hooked up to water supply,
7	electrical supply, fuel supply, steam outlet and
8	exhaust. Emissions from package boilers are not
9	necessarily more predictable than boilers that
0	could be constructed onsite at a source, but are
1	generally sold to users with factory
2	specifications detailing their emissions
3	characteristics.
4	Answer to (a)(2). All of
5	Section 201.600 defines the PBR eligibility for a
6	boiler including Subsection's 201.600(a), (b), (c)
7	and (d). Additionally, applicability includes the
8	general requirements in Section 201.505.
9	Question (a)(3), nothing in the
0	proposed amendments would preclude a boiler built
1	onsite from being eligible for PBR. However, the
2	boiler would have to meet the applicability
3	requirements of Subsection's 201.600(a), (b), (c)
4	and (d) as well as the general requirements in

Page 24 1 Section 201.505. 2 Question 17, by stating that the 3 emissions from the boiler must consist entirely of the products of fuel combustion the wording of 4 5 Subsection 201.600(d) does indeed limit the applicability of the PBR rules to only boilers 6 7 that are commonly known as indirect boilers. 8 Question 18, the heat input 9 values in 201.600(a)(1) and (2) were chosen in 10 order to ensure that the PBR units would not exceed the significant emissions threshold for new 11 12 source review for any NSR pollutant including a 13 margin of safety. Boilers of a capacity greater 14 than 100 million BTU per hour would not 15 necessarily meet that criteria. Both the US EPA 16 and IERG have agreed to this capacity. 17 Question 19, a PBR unit would 18 indeed be limited to 48 hours of liquid fuel use 19 except in cases of natural gas curtailment or 20 supply interruption. Those limits and exceptions 21 are already contained within the federal 22 references contained in Subsection C. 23 MR. RAO: So is the time limit 48 hours or less than 48 hours? 24

	Uctober 26, 2016
· ·	Page 25
1	MR. DAVIS: Yes, to use as a backup
2	fuel it's limited to 48 hours, but we did want to
3	include the possibility of curtailment or supply
4	interruption.
5	MR. RAO: Is that reflected in the
6	rule?
7	MR. DAVIS: It is referenced in
8	MR. BLOOMBERG: It's
9	MR. DAVIS: in 200.600
10	201.600(c).
11	MR. BLOOMBERG: That is not written
	into the rule. It is written into the federal
13	requirements that are referenced in the rule.
14	MR. RAO: Okay.
15	MR. BLOOMBERG: So, therefore, by
16	saying they must meet those federal requirements,
17	it brings in that both the limits and the
18	exceptions to the limits.
19	MR. RAO: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. DAVIS: Right. And that's in 40
21	CFR 63.11237. And incorporated by reference in
22	201.104.
23	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: We have one
24	more follow-up question here in Chicago.

Γ	Page 26
1	MR. GIGNAC: James Gignac with the
2	Attorney General's Office and my last name is
3	spelled G-I-G-N-A-C.
4	Just to clarify on the subject
5	of using the diesel backup in the case of the gas
6	curtailments or interruption.
7	Is it correct that there is no
8	time limit on the duration that diesel fuel could
9	be used in that circumstance?
0	MR. BLOOMBERG: I believe the time
	limit is as long as their curtailment or supply
2	interruption.
3	MR. GIGNAC: Did the Agency consider
4	imposing a time limit for the use of diesel fuel
5	in the case of has curtailment or interruption?
6	MR. BLOOMBERG: No. Because that
7	would basically be telling sources if there is a
8	curtailment or interruption you must shutdown and
9	for some sources that's simply not possible.
0	These are emergency situations. , I'm not sure how
1	often it happens, but in talking to some
2	electrical supplying like Peaker units it does
3	not happen very often at all. I think maybe the
4	most recent was the polar vortex. Don't quote me

Г

-

	Page 2
1	on that, but it's very infrequent.
2	MR. GIGNAC: Thank you. Just going
3	back to a previous question.
4	You mentioned that startups
5	don't go as planned for these types of boilers.
6	How long is a normal startup for a unit of this
7	size?
8	MR. BLOOMBERG: We I'm not sure
9	and also by startup what I was talking about there
0	was simply literally how long it takes to plug it
1	in and get it going. Not any sort of like
2	sometimes it is referred to startup emissions in a
3	different way. That's not what was intended in
4	that way. It is just like any other construction
5	project, not everything goes as planned and if we
6	had sources tell the Agency ahead of time "This is
7	the date of startup," well if it got pushed back a
8	day because there was a lightening storm, then
9	they would have to send us another notification.
0	So that's why it's generally done after.
1	MR. GIGNAC: Well, I'm asking about
2	the startup duration because in the one of the
3	CFR definitions for the boiler that's in the
4	proposed rule, the CFR also allows unlimited use

Page 28 1 of diesel fuel during a startup. 2 Is that accurate and would the 3 Agency consider proposing a definition for what 4 constitutes a startup period? 5 MR. BLOOMBERG: We're going to have 6 to look at that and respond in written comments. 7 Which section did you say that was? Or rather which CFR section? 8 9 MR. GIGNAC: That would be 40 CFR 10 63.11237 and just one last question. 11 When there is a fuel switching 12 that takes place in these types of units, for 13 example, switching from gas to diesel, does the 14 Agency have a sense of how long that process 15 normally takes? Is it instantaneous or is it --16 does it take a period of minutes or hours? 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: We'll have to look 18 into that and get back to -- respond in written 19 comments because anything we tell you right now 20 wouldn't necessarily be spot on. 21 MR. GIGNAC: Thank you. 22 MR. RAO: David, I have a follow-up 23 on that 48-hour limit which you indicated as referenced in the 40 CFR 63.11237. I could not 24

	Page 29
1	find anything, at least in my cursory review on my
2	phone, which would be helpful if you can point me
3	out to what definition or where exactly that limit
4	comes up in the federal regulations?
5	MR. BLOOMBERG: I will have to
6	double check on that and respond to it in the
7	written comments.
8	MR. RAO: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. BLOOMBERG: It's possible it's
10	in the other CFR that's listed there, 40 CFR
11	63.7575.
12	MR. RAO: I will review it, but if
13	you can respond to it in writing, that will be
14	helpful.
15	MR. BLOOMBERG: Sure. Question 20,
16	it is not necessary for the rule to explicitly
17	address startup of emissions. As a general
18	matter, startup emissions are not included in
19	determinations of potential emissions.
20	Question 21, we've answered both
21	(a) and (b) together. So the answer for 21(a) and
22	(b) the Illinois EPA believes that Section 39.12
23	gives the Board the necessary authority. Section
24	39.12 did not indicate that backup fuels would be

		October 26, 2016
$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}$		Page 30
	1	excluded, only that the boiler should be a boiler
	2	designed to burn natural gas or refinery gas.
	3	Section 39.12(c) of the Act
	4	states the types of permits that may include, but
	5	shall not be limited to permit for and then the
	6	list of those permits and, therefore, it does not
	7	limit the type of fuel.
	8	Question 22, there are no
	9	currently unresolved issues with the US EPA.
1	0	Question 23 I'm sorry.
1	1	Section question 23 the Board has provided a
1	L2	suggested edit and the Agency is okay with the
1	3	Board change.
1	4	Question 24, Illinois EPA agrees
1	.5	that the potential emissions should be in tons per
1	6	year, but not does not believe that the
1	.7	additional amendments are necessary because
1	8	calculation of potential emissions should already
1	9	include the type of fuel expected to be burned.
2	20	As noted earlier, startup emissions should not be
2	21	included.
2	22	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. I
2	23	believe
2	24	MR. BLOOMBERG: That was question

Octob	er	26,	20	16	5
	-			~ ~	۰.

	Page 31
1	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Sorry. Go
2	ahead.
3	MR. BLOOMBERG: That was 24A. This
4	would be question 24B. The Board has provided a
5	suggested edit. The calculation of potential
6	emissions should already include the type of fuel
7	expected to be burned, the emissions from butane,
8	propane and refinery fuel gas are essentially the
9	same as those of natural gas. As such, no change
10	is needed to the proposed rule.
11	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. Does
12	any Board member or Board staff or anybody else
13	either here or in Springfield have any additional
14	follow-up questions? We have one here in Chicago.
15	MR. SYLVESTER: Good afternoon. My
16	name is Steve Sylvester with the Attorney
17	General's Office.
18	I just had a follow-up question
19	regarding whether 39.12 allows for oil as a backup
20	fuel if in the event that down the road a court
21	was to find that Section 39.12 didn't allow for
22	that, what would be the result of the PBR program
23	for that sort of fuel?
24	MR. BLOOMBERG: I'm not a lawyer. I

Page 32 1 can't answer that question. I don't -- I don't 2 know how to answer that question. We can look 3 into it. MR. SYLVESTER: Is -- I guess the 4 5 question is the program allows for the use of that fuel. If it was determined that that fuel 6 7 couldn't be used, how would it affect the 8 regulations? 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: I presume that we would need to take it out of the regulation. 10 11 MR. SYLVESTER: Fair enough. Thank 12 you. 13 HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Does anybody 14 have any additional follow-up questions? Okay. 15 Seeing none here in Chicago or in Springfield, now 16 we can move onto consideration of IERG's -- the 17 responses to IERG's pre-filed questions. 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: All right. Response 19 to IERG question one. We'll read this one just 20 because it's short. "How will future updates for 21 the reference material be incorporated into the 22 regulations?" And the answer is in the same way 23 as updates to reference material are always made, 24 either the Agency will propose amendments to the

I

	Page 33
1	Board or the Board or any person has the
2	authority pursuant to Section 28.6 of the Act to
3	propose updates to incorporations by reference
4	through a streamline regulatory process.
5	Response to question two, no.
6	Response to question three, the
7	owner or operator will need to obtain will need
8	to obtain a separate PBR for each unit, but may
9	obtain PBR's up to the specified limit. Response
10	to question four, not under the proposal as
11	written. As the question notes, the source must
12	have a CAAPP permit.
13	Question response to question
14	five. The Agency is not certain about every PBR
15	rule in other states and as we noted earlier in
16	response to the Board's question every states PBR
17	program is different in multiple ways.
18	Response to question six, no.
19	The BOA permit section already uses the term NOI
20	to mean notice of incompleteness. We, therefore,
21	believe it would be confusing to have the same
22	term mean something very different.
23	Response to question seven, the
24	Agency is working on a possible and likely form.

Page 34 1 However, it will probably be separate from the 2 minor permit modification form. 3 Response to question eight, the 4 purpose of this provision is to ensure that the 5 construction of two or more units that should 6 properly be considered a single project for 7 purposes of NSR are not improperly considered 8 separate projects under the PBR rule. The 9 provision responds to a concern expressed by US 10 EPA that this possibility be appropriately guarded 11 against in the PBR rule. The two-year timeframe 12 was selected as the period of interest for 35 Ill. 13 Adm. Code 201.501(a)(8) because it would be 14 extraordinary for construction of the type of 15 units addressed by the PBR to be separated by more 16 than two years and still be part of the same 17 project for the purpose of NSR. 18 Response to question nine, these 19 details are still being worked out, but at this 20 point it appears the answer will be yes. 21 Response to question ten, yes. Response to question 11, that is 22 23 correct. 24 Response to question 12, there

Γ	Page 35
1	may be some minor differences between the PBR
2	requirements and CAAPP requirements, but we need
3	to remember that PBR is a substitute for a
4	construction permit while a CAAPP is an operating
5	permit.
6	Response to question 13, this is
7	a standard condition for Stack Testing. Often,
8	things change in the company or the Stack Testing
9	contractor may not know of an exact date 30 days
10	ahead of time. So, typically, a general range is
11	given at the 30-day nctice and a specific date is
12	given at the 5-day notice.
13	MR. DAVIS: This is Rory Davis.
14	Question 14, the answer is yes.
15	Question 14A, the answer is also
16	yes.
17	Response to 15, actually, we
18	don't have a response. We did not understand the
19	question.
20	MS. PALUMBO: This is Antonette
21	Palumbo with IERG. This question came about as
22	just a way to understand how the completeness of
23	the notification will play a role in any
24	enforcement actions that arise from the PBR and I

C

	Page 36
1	think that we've gotten some clarification in your
2	earlier answers about notifying applicants of the
3	completeness, but if you could just provide some
4	sort of explanation or just some examples of how
5	an incomplete application or a violation for an
6	incomplete application would arise in an
7	enforcement action for the PBR?
8	MR. BLOOMBERG: If a source submits
9	an incomplete notification and takes advantage of
10	the PBR and constructs and construction and
11	operation are complete and it is determined that
12	the notification was incomplete, then it would be
13	up to the Bureau of Air's compliance staff to
14	determine what the next steps would be. If it is
15	something simple, then it might be easily fixed.
16	If it's something more complicated such as the
17	source was not actually eligible for the PBR, then
18	there could be enforcement that proceeds.
19	MS. PALUMBO: Thank you.
20	MR. DAVIS: This is Rory Davis
21	again. Question 16, does the Agency plan to
22	define maximum design heat input capacity? No,
23	the Agency believes that the term is sufficiently
24	self-explanatory.

	Page
1	Question 17, if there is a
2	previously federally enforceable permit that
3	acknowledges and limits the de-rating then the
4	maximum heat input capacity would be the de-rated
5	capacity. Otherwise, it would be the original
6	design value.
7	MR. BLOOMBERG: Response to question
8	18, it is unclear to the Agency why IERG is
9	requesting this change to the language as the
10	Agency believes that the language is okay the way
11	it is written.
12	MR. DAVIS: Question 19, the Agency
13	used the NOx RACT language so as to avoid having
14	different requirements. With that said, if the
15	final permit by rule language ends up being
16	different than the language in NOx RACT or if it
17	is different from a NESHAP requirement, then all
18	of those requirements would apply.
19	Question 19(a), it appears that
20	most of the requirements would be covered by the
21	NESHAP, but not necessarily all of them in exactly
22	the same way. For example, the proposed rule has
23	a requirement that the person conducting the
24	tuning must be trained and there are specific

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 Page 37

		October 26, 2016
\bigcirc		Page 38
	1	recordkeeping requirements for this proposed rule.
	2	HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Does anybody
	3	else here in Chicago or Springfield have a
	4	follow-up question? I think we have one here in
	5	Chicago.
	6	MR. SYLVESTER: Hi. Steven
	7	Sylvester again. Just a quick question on the
	8	diesel fuel backup backup fuel.
	9	Does the Agency have any
	10	requirements on the sulfur content for the diesel
	11	fuel? Have they considered using ultra low sulfur
	12	diesel?
	13	MR. BLOOMBERG: Ultra low sulfur
	14	diesel is already mandated statewide with a few
	15	exceptions via a rule passed by the Board last
	16	year.
	17	MR. SYLVESTER: Is it possible to
	18	cross-reference that in these regulations?
	19	MR. DAVIS: No. No stationary
	20	sources are allowed to use anything but 15 PPM
	21	sulfur diesel.
	22	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yeah, nobody can use
	23	it by that rule and nobody is using it or nobody
	24	is buying it now. It's almost impossible to
/ 		

Page 39 1 purchase in Illinois. 2 MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you. 3 MR. ALEC DAVIS: We may have some 4 follow up. If we can have a minute. 5 HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Sure. We'll take a minute or two off the record to consider 6 7 additional follow-up questions. 8 (Whereupon, a discussion was had 9 off the record.) 10 HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. We'll 11 go back on the record. MS. PALUMBO: This is Antonette 12 13 Palumbo with IERG. We just have a couple of 14 follow-up questions for you. 15 Regarding question 18 in our 16 pre-filed questions, can the Agency just clarify if they intend for Section 201.600(a)(2) to 17 18 require the owner/operator to meet the emission 19 limit to be able to demonstrate as much, is that 20 the intent of that provision? 21 MR. BLOOMBERG: The intent is that 22 the source must meet a NOx emission rate or limit 23 of not greater than 0.05 pounds per million BTU. 24 It is possible due to a conversation that I had

Page 40 this morning we may need to modify this language a 1 little bit and I did not realize that when I read 2 3 you the earlier answer. 4 MS. PALUMBO: Okay. 5 MR. BLOOMBERG: So we will respond 6 to that in writing. 7 MS. PALUMBO: And for question number 19 of our pre-filed questions, is the 8 9 Agency's proposed rule more stringent than NESHAP 10 for the combustion tuning requirements? 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: It's different. I 12 don't know how to define more stringent for 13 combustion tuning. There are slightly different 14 requirements. We're more specific in some areas 15 and the NESHAP is more specific in other areas. 16 MS. PALUMBO: And the sources would 17 have to meet both requirements, is that correct? 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 19 MS. PALUMBO: Okay. Will the Agency 20 be available to answer questions at the next 21 hearing? 22 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes, but let me just 23 backup one second here. 24 The sources would have to meet

Page 41 1 both requirements if they are subject to that 2 NESHAP. 3 MR. RAO: Okay. Is there any 4 particular reason for the Agency to not propose 5 consistent requirements with NESHAP here? 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: We proposed 7 consistent requirements with Illinois regulations 8 that cover Chicago and Metro East sources. We 9 felt it was more important to be consistent within 10 our own Illinois -- or the Board's own Illinois 11 regulations which can be controlled by the Board 12 as opposed to federal regulations which may change 13 and are out of our control. MR. RAO: Okay. And those 14 15 regulations differ from NESHAP too, I'm assuming? 16 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes, the ones that 17 we have in this regulation, this proposal 18 regulation, were pretty much copy and pasted from 19 NOx RACT. 20 MR. RAO: Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER JAMES: Okay. Does 22 anybody else either here or in Springfield have 23 additional follow-up questions based on IERG's 24 questions? I see none here in Chicago and I hear

	Page 42
1	none in Springfield. So I believe that wraps up
2	the responses to the pre-filed questions.
3	Does anybody else have any new
4	questions for the witness, either Board members,
5	staff or any members of the public present? Okay.
6	Seeing none, that concludes the
7	questioning of the witness and is there anybody
8	else who wishes to testify or offer comment at the
9	hearing today? I don't see anybody here in
10	Chicago and I don't hear anybody in Springfield.
11	So that's that. And we can adjourn today after a
12	couple of quick announcements.
13	The second hearing in this
14	docket has been scheduled to take place November
15	16th, 2016, via video conference between Chicago
16	and Springfield. The deadline that we set for
17	pre-filing testimony is November 2nd, 2016. It
18	would also be great if post-hearing comments
19	concerning today's hearing could be filed by that
20	date November 2nd. We also set a deadline of
21	November 9th, 2016, to pre-file questions based on
22	the pre-filed testimony. The Board expects to
23	receive the transcript of this hearing soon and
24	after the Board receives the transcript it will be

	Page
	available on the Board's website
	www.ipcb.state.il.us. It will be available under
3	this docket number R17-9.
	Anyone can file written public
	comments in this rulemaking and file them with the
5	clerk of the Board. Comments can be filed
	electronically through the Board's clerk's office
3	online also known as COOL. Any questions about
9	filing comments through COOL can be directed to
)	the clerk's office. Filings with the Board must
	also be served on the Hearing Officer and anyone
2	on the service list. So before filing check with
3	the Board's clerk to make sure you have the most
4	recent version of the service list.
5	If anyone has any questions
5	about procedural aspects of this rulemaking, my
7	contact information is posted on the Board's web
3	page. Is there any other matter that needs to be
)	addressed at this time? Okay. Seeing nobody
5	raising any issues here in Chicago or in
L	Springfield, I'd like to thank everybody for
2	participating today and the hearing is adjourned.
3	
1	

	October 26, 2016
	Page 4
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
8) SS.
	COUNTY OF COOK)
	I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
	shorthand the proceedings had at the closed
	meeting aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a
k:	true, complete and correct transcript of the audio
	proceedings of said closed meeting as appears from
	my stenographic notes so taken and transcribed
	under my personal direction.
	Witness my official signature in and for
	Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
	, A.D., 2016.
	STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
	8 West Monroe Street
	Suite 2007 Chicago, Illinois 60603
	Phone: (312) 419-9292 CSR No. 084-004675

Page 45

F		****		
A	18:3,11,12,23	Antonette 2:9	available 22:22	5:2,16,18,24 6:16
A-12 17:14	19:2 20:12,23	35:20 39:12	40:20 43:1,2	9:4 20:10 29:23
A.D 44:15	22:2,23 26:13	anybody 4:12,16	avoid 6:3 37:13	30:11,13 31:4,12
able 16:24 17:18	27:16 28:3,14	4:19 6:10 8:9 9:7		31:12 33:1,1
39:19	30:12 32:24 33:14	9:9,10 31:12	<u> </u>	38:15 41:11 42:4
accepted 3:20	33:24 36:21,23	32:13 38:2 41:22	b 23:16,23 29:21,22	42:22,24 43:6,10
accurate 28:2	37:8,10,12 38:9	42:3,7,9,10	back 27:3,17 28:18	Board's 3:14,15
acknowledgement	39:16 40:19 41:4	appear 9:8 16:11	39:11	4:23 5:4 8:21
13:17 18:1	Agency's 10:2 14:3	appears 34:20	background 3:17	9:24 10:3 33:16
acknowledges 37:3	15:23 40:9	37:19 44:10	backup 25:1 26:5	41:10 43:1,7,13
acknowledgment	agreed 12:23 24:16	applicability 20:19	29:24 31:19 38:8	43:17
13:3,7,21 18:5,9	agrees 16:15 30:14	23:1,17,22 24:6	38:8 40:23	boiler 11:5 16:23
18:16,17	ahead 8:17 9:11	applicable 14:20	barring 8:12	16:24 19:18 22:19
Act 20:10 30:3 33:2	22:3 27:16 31:2	21:17,18	based 15:22 19:21	22:19,21 23:5,16
action 36:7	35:10	applicant 10:15,24	41:23 42:21	23:20,22 24:3
action 36:7 actions 35:24	air 7:19,21,22 12:8	11:13,22 13:10	basically 26:17	27:23 30:1,1
	13:20 16:6	18:5,13 20:22	believe 6:20 13:24	boilers 1:2 3:5
add 16:19,21 additional 5:19	Air's 36:13	applicants 36:2	20:15 21:7 22:5	22:24 23:2,3,4,8,9
17:9 30:17 31:13	Alec 2:9 18:21,21	application 10:6	26:10 30:16,23	24:6,7,13 27:5
	19:7 39:3	11:1,3,7 12:7	33:21 42:1	Brickey 1:6 44:5,20
32:14 39:7 41:23	Alisa 2:6 3:14	13:21 21:7,9 36:5	believes 20:11	brief 5:11
Additionally 22:4	allow 5:11,23 9:20	36:6	29:22 36:23 37:10	brings 25:17
23:17	20:17 21:21 31:21	applications 10:24	benefit 6:2	BTU 24:14 39:23
address 10:12	allowed 38:20	applied 14:8	beyond 14:7	build 15:16
15:13 29:17	allows 5:24 27:24	apply 37:18	bias 5:5	built 23:20
addressed 34:15	31:19 32:5	appreciate 17:20	bit 40:2	burden 15:15
43:19	amendments 1:2	appropriately	Bloomberg 2:8	burdens 16:3
adjourn 42:11	3:6 14:9 22:24	34:10	7:20 8:3 9:15	burdensome 13:15
adjourned 43:22	23:20 30:17 32:24	approvable 15:4	11:17,18,23 12:2	Bureau 7:21 12:8
Adm 1:2 3:6 15:19	analyze 22:13	approval 12:15	12:4 13:6,11,18	13:20 36:13
34:13	Anand 2:5 3:15	areas 40:14,15	15:6,7 18:14 19:4	Burke 2:3 3:13
administrative	announcements	arrived 8:8	19:8,20 20:5 21:2	burn 30:2
10:18	42:12	arrives 4:21	21:5,22 25:8,11	burned 30:19 31:7
admitted 5:1 6:23	annunciate 6:8	asked 8:9	25:15 26:10,16	butane 31:7
adopted 15:12	answer 9:3 11:4,18	asking 21:3 27:21	27:8 28:5,17 29:5	buying 38:24
advantage 36:9	19:10 20:14 23:14	aspects 43:16	29:9,15 30:24	buying 50.24
affect 32:7	29:21 32:1,2,22	assist 5:3 7:20	31:3,24 32:9,18	С
aforesaid 44:8	34:20 35:14,15	assistant 6:19 7:16	36:8 37:7 38:13	c 2:1 23:16,23
afterburner 16:22	40:3,20	assuming 41:15	38:22 39:21 40:5	24:22
afternoon 3:2	answered 29:20	Attorney 26:2	40:11,18,22 41:6	CAAPP 19:12,14
31:15	answering 9:15	31:16	41:16	33:12 35:2,4
agency 3:19 6:16	15:7	audio 44:9	BOA 33:19	calculation 30:18
6:18 7:17,22 10:4	answers 9:12 20:16		Board 1:6 2:2,3,3,4	31:5
11:2,5,12 12:7,16	36:2	August 3:20 authority 14:22	2:5 3:3,7,10,11,12	called 1:5 8:4
12:18 13:4,15		15:16 29:23 33:2	3:20,20 4:3,7,7	capacity 14:18
15:2 17:9,18,24	anticipates 11:5	15.10 29.25 55:2		- party 1110
		1	1	1

Page 46

[1	t	3	,
24:13,16 36:22	combustion 24:4	consistent 41:5,7,9	current 14:13	degreed 20:6
37:4,5	40:10,13	constitutes 28:4	currently 5:20 12:8	delivered 23:5
case 11:13 26:5,15	comes 29:4	construct 14:22	13:19 17:12,18	demonstrate 39:19
cases 19:24 21:23	comment 4:18 42:8	constructed 23:10	30:9	deposeth 8:5
24:19	comments 4:13 6:1	construction 10:6	cursory 29:1	describing 16:17
certain 20:3 33:14	10:15 28:6,19	10:10,13 11:3	curtailment 24:19	design 36:22 37:6
certification 20:23	29:7 42:18 43:5,6	12:16 14:9,12	25:3 26:11,15,18	designed 30:2
certified 20:18 44:5	43:9	16:5,20 17:1,3,4,7	curtailments 26:6	detailing 23:12
certify 44:6	commonly 24:7	19:18,23 20:1,6		details 34:19
CFR 25:21 27:23	companies 15:2	27:14 34:5,14	<u>D</u>	determinations
27:24 28:8,9,24	company 12:6,13	35:4 36:10	d 23:17,24	29:19
29:10,10	22:12 35:8	constructs 36:10	data 22:12,13,13	determine 18:4
Chairman 1:5 2:2	complete 5:4 11:15	consulted 14:24	date 27:17 35:9,11	36:14
3:11	11:21 13:16,22,22	15:1	42:20	determined 18:15
change 16:15 21:20	19:5,9,18,23 20:1	contact 43:17	dated 3:22	32:6 36:11
22:4,17 30:13	20:2 21:7,10	contained 24:21,22	David 2:8 7:19 8:3	developed 10:11
31:9 35:8 37:9	36:11 44:9	content 38:10	9:14 11:17 15:6	15:10
41:12	completed 19:13	continue 18:23	28:22	developing 5:3
changes 14:21	completeness 10:19	19:6	Davis 2:8,9 4:5	development 10:8
characteristics	11:8,19 12:10	contractor 22:5	7:18 8:3 9:14,16	diesel 26:5,8,14
23:13	13:14 18:2,4,24	35:9	10:4 11:11 14:3	28:1,13 38:8,10
check 4:20 29:6	19:3,5 35:22 36:3	control 1:5 3:3	18:21,21 19:7	38:12,14,21
43:12	completes 18:11	14:17 41:13	20:8,8 21:12	differ 41:15
Chicago 1:6 3:9	compliance 36:13	controlled 41:11	22:18 25:1,7,9,20	differences 35:1
4:16,16,19 7:7 8:7	complicated 36:16	conventional 14:13	35:13,13 36:20,20	different 15:13,21
8:8 9:9 25:24	concern 34:9	conversation 39:24	37:12 38:19 39:3	27:13 33:17,22
31:14 32:15 38:3	concerned 12:14	Cook 44:3,14	Davis' 6:22	37:14,16,17 40:11
38:5 41:8,24	concerning 5:6	COOL 43:8,9	day 1:6 27:18 44:14	40:13
42:10,15 43:20	42:19	copy 41:18	days 11:2,8,16,20	directed 43:9
44:22	concludes 42:6	correct 13:10 19:7	13:9,13 18:24	direction 44:12
chosen 24:9	condition 22:10	26:7 34:23 40:17	19:1,6,10,14,24	discussed 4:8 13:1
circumstance 26:9	35:7	44:9	22:5 35:9	20:15 21:8
circumstances 22:4	conditions 10:11	counsel 6:19 7:16	de-rated 37:4	discussion 9:21
clarification 18:10	conduct 18:24	County 44:3,14	de-rating 37:3	39:8
36:1	conducted 3:8	couple 39:13 42:12	deadline 4:1 42:16	docket 3:7 42:14
clarify 14:5 26:4	conducting 37:23	court 6:2 7:24	42:20	43:3
39:16	conference 42:15	31:20	decision 5:4	Doctors 2:10 6:18
clear 5:4 6:5	confusing 33:21	cover 6:22 41:8	decrease 14:19	6:19 7:11,15,16
clearer 20:11	consider 26:13 28:3	covered 6:21 37:20	define 36:22 40:12	8:19,20 9:1,13
clearly 6:3	39:6	crafted 10:12	defined 20:9	document 5:20
clerk 43:6,13	consideration	create 17:17	defines 23:15	double 29:6
clerk's 43:7,10	32:16	criteria 24:15	definitely 22:7	draft 10:9,14
closed 44:7,10	considered 20:7	cross-reference	definition 22:23	driver 22:1
Code 1:3 3:6 15:19	23:4 34:6,7 38:11	38:18	28:3 29:3	dryer 16:21
34:13	consist 24:3	CSR 1:6 44:20,23	definitions 27:23	due 39:24
L				

Page 47

duly 8:4	ensure 21:9 24:10	34:14	framework 15:11	guess 32:4
duration 26:8	34:4	F	fuel 14:16 23:7	HH
27:22	entails 10:6		24:4,18 25:2 26:8	***************************************
E	enter 5:9 7:3,9 8:13	facilities 16:8	26:14 28:1,11	HAP's 21:15
	9:24	facility 16:18	30:7,19 31:6,8,20	happen 19:17
E 2:1,1	entered 4:5	factory 22:19 23:11	31:23 32:6,6 38:8	26:23
earlier 17:23 30:20	entirely 24:3	Fair 32:11	38:8,11	happens 26:21
33:15 36:2 40:3	entitled 1:5 3:5	fairly 22:10,22	fuels 29:24	hear 9:10 18:6
easily 36:15	environmental	federal 24:21 25:12	full 22:7	41:24 42:10
East 41:8	3:18 4:9,15 7:17	25:16 29:4 41:12	further 17:10	hearing 3:1,3,4,8
edit 30:12 31:5	7:22 14:6,24	federally 37:2	future 17:11,22	3:18,21,22,23,24
effect 18:18	18:22	fee 11:2	32:20	4:2,6,20 5:7,20
effectiveness 17:10	EPA 6:20 11:7	felt 41:9		6:6,11,15,21 7:1,5
eight 17:13 34:3	14:23 15:5 16:15	file 43:4,5	<u> </u>	7:8,23 8:6,23 9:5
either 16:12 31:13	22:16 24:15 29:22	filed 3:19 42:19	G-I-G-N-A-C 26:3	9:18,23 25:23
32:24 41:22 42:4	30:9,14 34:10	43:6	gas 16:22 24:19	30:22 31:1,11
elapsed 19:1,2	especially 6:6 15:23	filing 43:9,12	26:5 28:13 30:2,2	32:13 38:2 39:5
electrical 23:7	essentially 31:8	Filings 43:10	31:8,9	39:10 40:21 41:21
26:22	evaluating 17:9,21	final 37:15	gather 22:12	42:9,13,19,23
electronic 17:20	event 31:20	find 20:24 21:2	general 23:18,24	43:11,22
electronically	everybody 43:21	29:1 31:21	29:17 35:10	heat 24:8 36:22
17:19 43:7	exact 35:9	finds 18:11	General's 26:2	37:4
electronics 16:8	exactly 12:20 29:3	fine 8:24	31:17	HEDGES 2:7
eligibility 23:15	37:21	finish 9:17	generally 22:21	held 1:4 6:7
eligible 23:21 36:17	example 15:14	first 3:23 5:16 8:4	23:3,11 27:20	help 6:5
eliminated 14:7,7	16:17 28:13 37:22	8:22 16:5	Gignac 26:1,1,13	helpful 29:2,14
emergency 20:3	examples 36:4	five 16:14 33:14	27:2,21 28:9,21	Hi 38:6
26:20	exceed 24:11	fixed 36:15	give 5:11	hooked 23:6
emission 14:20,22	exceptions 24:20	flexible 16:11	given 5:15 35:11,12	hour 24:14
20:6 21:24 39:18	25:18 38:15	follow 39:4	gives 29:23	hours 24:18,24,24
39:22	excluded 30:1	follow-up 5:17,19	go 8:17 9:11,11,19	25:2 28:16
emissions 14:17	Excuse 8:19	11:9 25:24 28:22	12:14,16,21 22:7	
16:7 21:19 23:8	exempt 16:13	31:14,18 32:14	27:5 31:1 39:11	I
23:12 24:3,11	exemptions 15:18	38:4 39:7,14	goals 15:20	IEPA 8 :10,12
27:12 29:17,18,19	exhaust 23:8	41:23	goes 27:15	IEPA's 4:4,8,10 5:8
30:15,18,20 31:6	expected 14:8	followed 14:14	going 4:17 6:23	5:14,23 8:17
31:7	30:19 31:7	following 15:24	9:14 27:2,11 28:5	IERG 4:15 8:11
encompass 23:2	expects 42:22	follows 8:5	good 3:1 7:2 31:15	24:16 32:19 35:21
endeavor 11:7,19	expedited 11:1	foregoing 44:8	gotten 36:1	37:8 39:13
12:18 18:1	explanation 36:4	form 17:17 33:24	governed 4:22	IERG's 8:18 32:16
ends 37:15	explicitly 29:16	34:2	great 42:18	32:17 41:23
enforceable 37:2	expressed 34:9	forth 15:19	greater 24:13 39:23	III 1:2 3:6 15:19
enforcement 35:24	expressed 54.9	forward 12:12,14	Group 4:9,15 15:1	34:12
36:7,18	extra 6:8,8	found 18:7	18:22	Illinois 1:5,6,6 3:2
engineer 7:18 10:8	extra 0.8,8	four 15:9 33:10	guarded 34:10	3:18 4:9,15 6:20
	CALLAOI UIIIAI y		0	-
	•		•	•

Page 48

		······································		1
7:16 11:7 14:23	22:22	L	MARSIK 2:11	multiple 33:17
14:24 15:14 16:15	instantaneous	language 37:9,10	material 32:21,23	
18:22 22:16 29:22	28:15	37:13,15,16 40:1	matter 1:1 6:20	<u> </u>
30:14 39:1 41:7	intend 17:17 19:22	lawyer 31:24	29:18 43:18	N 2:1
41:10,10 44:1,14	19:22 39:17	lead 3:11	maximum 36:22	N201 23:1
44:22	intended 5:3 27:13	leading 10:8	37:4	name 3:3 7:15 26:2
immediately 21:24	intent 11:23,24	let's 9:11,18	mean 19:4 33:20,22	31:16
impact 14:6	18:8,16 19:9	letter 18:17	means 15:21	natural 16:22
importance 17:20	39:20,21	level 21:19	mechanisms 15:15	24:19 30:2 31:9
important 6:6 15:9	interest 34:12	lifetime 15:17	16:2	necessarily 20:15
41:9	interested 12:12	lightening 27:18	meet 23:22 24:15	23:9 24:15 28:20
imposing 26:14	interfere 22:3	likelihood 19:20	25:16 39:18,22	37:21
impossible 38:24	interim 4:21	limit 24:5,23 26:8	40:17,24	necessary 10:19,20
improperly 34:7	internal 12:8 13:23	26:11,14 28:23	meeting 1:5 44:8,10	13:24 22:11,12
include 14:10,16	interruption 24:20	29:3 30:7 33:9	member 2:2,3,3,4	29:16,23 30:17
18:8 22:23 25:3	25:4 26:6,12,15	39:19,22	3:11,12,13,13	need 21:23 22:5,6
30:4,19 31:6	26:18	limitations 14:16	6:16 8:7,9 15:2	32:10 33:7,7 35:2
included 14:12	introduce 7:12	14:17	31:12	40:1
29:18 30:21	investigation 15:23	limited 24:18 25:2	members 4:7 5:2	needed 31:10
includes 23:17	involve 10:21 17:6	30:5	5:15 42:4,5	needing 22:6 23:6
including 15:17	involved 17:3	limits 24:20 25:17	mentioned 8:11	needs 16:12 17:6
23:16 24:12	issued 10:16	25:18 37:3	16:3 17:23 18:8	43:18
incomplete 18:7,12	issues 15:13 30:9	linked 17:2	27:4	NESHAP 37:17,21
18:13,14,15,19	43:20	liquid 24:18	merely 14:21	40:9,15 41:2,5,15
21:1,3 36:5,6,9,12		list 30:6 43:12,14	Metro 41:8	new 21:12,15,16
incompleteness	J	listed 29:10	Michigan 16:10	24:11 42:3
33:20	JACKIE 2:10	literally 27:10	million 24:14 39:23	nine 20:6 34:18
incorporated 15:3	James 1:5 2:2 3:1,3	little 6:8 40:2	Minnesota 16:6	NOI 33:19
25:21 32:21	6:15 7:1,23 8:6,23	Liu 2:6 3:15	minor 19:11 34:2	normal 12:16 27:6
incorporations	9:5,18,23 25:23	long 26:11 27:6,10	35:1	normally 28:15
33:3	26:1 30:22 31:1	28:14	minute 39:4,6	note 4:11 5:18
increase 14:19	31:11 32:13 38:2	look 28:6,17 32:2	minutes 9:19 28:16	15:10 20:11
21:19	39:5,10 41:21	looking 17:10	misused 13:7	noted 30:20 33:15
Indiana 16:4	Jason 1:5 2:2 3:3	low 38:11,13	modification 19:12	notes 33:11 44:11
indicate 13:15 18:1	Jennifer 2:3 3:13	LYNN 2:7	20:7 21:13,13,14	notice 33:20 35:11
29:24	Jerry 2:2,3 3:11,13	A A I I I I Z . /	34:2	35:12
indicated 28:23	Johnson 2:4 3:12	М	modifications	notification 11:5,14
indirect 24:7	T 7	major 21:15	21:19	11:15,22 12:19,23
industry 12:11,24	K	management 13:20	modified 21:17	13:2,5,7,9,16
information 4:23	Keenan 2:2 3:11	manager 7:20	modify 40:1	17:19 18:2,6,12
16:1 43:17	6:13	mandated 38:14	moment 9:16	18:13 20:2,19,22
infrequent 27:1	keep 12:22	manufactured	Monroe 44:21	20:24 21:16 27:19
input 24:8 36:22	know 19:10 20:3	22:20	morning 40:1	35:23 36:9,12
37:4	32:2 35:9 40:12	manufacturer 23:5	move 10:2 32:16	notifications 10:18
installed 19:19	known 24:7 43:8	margin 24:13	moving 12:12 14:3	19:1
		_		

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

,

Page 49

r				
notified 12:6	37:10 39:10 40:4	pasted 41:18	planning 4:17 7:19	previously 37:2
notify 11:13 18:12	40:19 41:3,14,21	pause 9:16	7:21	primarily 10:18
22:2	42:5 43:19	PBR 10:17 12:13	play 35:23	print 16:19
notifying 36:2	once 12:13 19:1	14:6,18 16:1,4,10	please 5:18 6:3	printer 16:21,21,22
November 5:21	20:18	17:11 19:23 20:16	7:11,24	printing 16:18
42:14,17,20,21	ones 41:16	21:1,3,14,17,18	plug 27:10	prior 18:4
NOx 37:13,16	online 43:8	21:21 23:15,21	plus 17:14	privileged 4:24
39:22 41:19	onsite 23:10,21	24:6,10,17 31:22	point 13:24 29:2	probably 9:6 34:1
NSR 24:12 34:7,17	opening 6:16	33:8,14,16 34:8	34:20	procedural 4:23
number 15:18 40:8	operate 15:16	34:11,15 35:1,3	polar 26:24	43:16
43:3	operating 35:4	35:24 36:7,10,17	pollutant 24:12	procedure 20:17
+J.J	operation 21:24	PBR's 17:9 33:9	Pollution 1:5 3:2	procedures 12:9
0	36:11	Peaker 26:22		15:12
O'Leary 2:3 3:13			pose 5:16	
objection 7:8 8:13	operator 14:21	people 9:17,20	posed 5:2	proceed 6:24 7:13
8:16 9:8,9,10	33:7	perform 11:8,19	posing 4:7	8:17 9:3,6,24
22:16	operators 20:17	12:19	possibility 25:3	20:18
objections 7:3,6,7	opportunity 5:16	performed 10:21	34:10	proceeding 3:5
obtain 16:5 33:7,8	opposed 41:12	13:14	possible 12:13	4:22 6:3,11
33:9	options 16:12	performing 19:2	26:19 29:9 33:24	proceedings 1:4
obtaining 15:16	order 3:22,24 4:6	period 28:4,16	38:17 39:24	44:7,10
obtains 14:22	7:6 24:10	34:12	post-hearing 42:18	proceeds 36:18
	original 37:5	permit 1:2 3:5 10:6	posted 43:17	process 21:20
obviously 19:15	outlet 23:7	10:7,9,10,13,14	potential 14:5	28:14 33:4
October 1:7 4:1,5,6	overall 16:20 17:1	10:16,22 11:6	29:19 30:15,18	processes 14:14
4:10	owner 14:21 33:7	12:17 13:19 14:9	31:5	processing 11:3
offer 4:13,18 42:8	owner/operator	14:13 15:12,18	pounds 39:23	produce 6:5
office 26:2 31:17	39:18	16:5,6,7,12,24	PPM 38:20	products 24:4
43:7,10	owners 20:17	17:7,16 19:12,15	pre-file 4:1,12	program 16:1,4,9
Officer 3:1,4,22,24	D	22:1 30:5 33:12	42:21	31:22 32:5 33:17
4:6 6:15,21 7:1,6	<u>P</u>	33:19 34:2 35:4,5	pre-filed 4:3,10 5:8	project 16:20 17:1
7:23 8:6,23 9:5,18	P 2:1,1	37:2,15	5:9,12 7:2,4 8:11	17:3,5 27:15 34:6
9:23 25:23 30:22	package 22:18,21	permits 15:17 17:4	32:17 39:16 40:8	34:17
31:1,11 32:13	23:2,4,8	30:4,6	42:2,22	project-specific
38:2 39:5,10	packaged 22:24	permitting 14:13	pre-filing 42:17	10:7,14,22
41:21 43:11	page 43:18	15:11 16:11	preclude 23:20	projects 34:8
official 20:9 44:13	Palumbo 2:9 35:20	person 6:4 33:1	predictable 23:9	propane 31:8
officially 9:24	35:21 36:19 39:12	37:23	preference 9:2,4	properly 34:6
Ohio 16:1	39:13 40:4,7,16	personal 44:12	prejudgment 5:5	proposal 3:19,21
oil 31:19	40:19	phone 29:2 44:22	preparation 10:22	4:4 5:6 6:1 14:19
okay 4:18 6:11 7:1	part 16:20 17:1	place 19:19 28:12	present 2:5,7 3:10	15:4 22:2 33:10
7:8,23 8:6,10,15	20:7,24 34:16	42:14	3:14 5:15 42:5	41:17
10:4 14:2 18:20	participating 43:22	plan 13:18,20,23	presume 19:4 32:9	propose 32:24 33:3
20:4 21:11 25:14	particular 41:4	36:21	pretty 41:18	41:4
25:19 29:8 30:12	Parts 1:3 3:6	planned 22:8 27:5	previous 20:16	proposed 10:13
30:22 31:11 32:14	passed 38:15	27:15	27:3	12:5 13:12 14:9
	1	1	1	1

Page 50

22:16,24 23:20	37:19 38:4,7	receive 6:1 20:2	remain 21:21	result 31:22
27:24 31:10 37:22	39:15 40:7	42:23	remember 35:3	review 10:5,7,15,17
38:1 40:9 41:6	questioning 42:7	received 4:3 12:7	reminder 19:11	10:19,20,21 11:1
proposing 28:3	questions 4:7,10	13:4 18:18	repeat 8:14	11:6,19 12:10,19
Protection 3:18	5:1,13,16,17,19	receives 20:23	repetitious 4:24	13:14 18:11,24
7:17,22	5:23 6:10,24 7:14	42:24	report 1:4 22:14	19:3,5,15 20:24
provide 36:3	8:10,12,18,22	receiving 13:9	reported 44:6	24:12 29:1,12
provided 7:5 30:11	9:12,15,17,20	15:23	reporter 6:2 7:24	reviews 11:8
31:4	10:1,3 15:7,24	recertify 21:16	44:6	right 7:10 8:14
providing 11:14	17:24 31:14 32:14	recognizes 22:11	representatives	25:20 28:19 32:18
provision 16:18	32:17 39:7,14,16	recommendations	4:14 12:24	road 31:20
34:4,9 39:20	40:8,20 41:23,24	15:3	requesting 37:9	role 35:23
provisions 14:15	42:2,4,21 43:8,15	record 4:11 5:1,4	require 17:3 39:18	room 16:19,23
public 5:15 6:1 8:7	quick 38:7 42:12	5:10 6:13 7:4,9,13	required 13:8	Rory 2:8 4:4 6:22
8:10 42:5 43:4	quick 38.7 42.12 quickly 12:12	8:13 9:19,22 10:1	21:13	7:18 8:3 9:14
purchase 39:1	22:22	39:6,9,11		20:8 35:13 36:20
1 -	quote 26:24		requirement 37:17 37:23	Rory's 11:18
purpose 20:16 22:3	quote 20:24	recordkeeping 38:1		ROSS 15:17
34:4,17	R		requirements	
purposes 17:22 34:7	R 2:1	recycling 16:9 reduce 15:15 16:3	14:10,11,17,20	route 12:17
	R17-9 1:2 3:7 43:3		15:18 20:20 23:18	rule 1:2 3:5 12:4,4
pursuant 33:2	Rachel 2:10 6:19	reference 20:12	23:23,24 25:13,16	12:5,10,24 13:12
pursued 15:20	7:15 8:20	25:21 32:21,23	35:2,2 37:14,18	14:1 15:12 16:6,7
pushed 27:17	RACT 37:13,16	33:3	37:20 38:1,10	16:24 20:12 21:5
put 12:19 14:1	41:19	referenced 25:7,13	40:10,14,17 41:1	22:1 25:6,12,13
19:14	raise 5:18	28:24	41:5,7	27:24 29:16 31:10
Q	raising 43:20	references 24:22	requires 16:4	33:15 34:8,11
	range 22:20 35:10	referred 27:12	Requiring 22:2	37:15,22 38:1,15
quality 7:19,21	Rao 2:5 3:15 11:9	refinery 30:2 31:8	respond 28:6,18	38:23 40:9
question 5:14 9:2,3 9:7 10:5,23 11:4	11:12,21,24 12:3	reflect 5:5	29:6,13 40:5	rulemaking 3:4,7
,	· · ·	reflected 11:24	responds 34:9	3:12,19,24 43:5
14:4,23 15:9	13:2,8,13 14:2	25:5	response 10:5,23	43:16
16:14,16 17:8,13	18:10,20 19:17	regarding 4:4 5:13	11:10 14:4 16:14	rules 4:23 12:1
17:18 20:5,9,14	20:4,21 21:4,11	31:19 39:15	16:16 17:8,13,24	24:6
20:20,21 21:12,22	24:23 25:5,14,19	registration 16:9	20:5 21:22 22:9	<u> </u>
22:9,15,18 23:19	28:22 29:8,12	regular 10:5,24	22:15 32:18 33:5	
24:2,8,17 25:24	41:3,14,20	11:6	33:6,9,13,16,18	S 2:1
27:3 28:10 29:15	rate 39:22	regulation 32:10	33:23 34:3,18,21	safety 24:13
29:20 30:8,10,11	read 5:10 6:23 7:4	41:17,18	34:22,24 35:6,17	saith 8:5
30:14,24 31:4,18	7:9 8:13 9:2,20	regulations 29:4	35:18 37:7	satisfied 20:19
32:1,2,5,19 33:5,6	10:1 32:19 40:2	32:8,22 38:18	responses 8:17 10:3	saying 25:16
33:10,11,13,13,16	reading 9:12,17	41:7,11,12,15	32:17 42:2	says 12:10
33:18,23 34:3,18	ready 6:23	regulatory 4:9,15	responsible 20:9	scheduled 3:23
34:21,22,24 35:6	realize 40:2	6:20 14:15 15:1	rest 17:17	5:20 42:14
35:14,15,19,21	reason 19:14 41:4	16:2,3 18:22 33:4	restate 19:9	SCOTT 2:11
36:21 37:1,7,12	receipt 18:5	relevant 4:24	restating 9:6	second 5:19 40:23

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

Page 51

I				
42:13	slightly 40:13	5:2,17 31:12	substitute 35:3	5:8,9,12,14,22
section 7:19,21	slowly 6:9	36:13 42:5	sufficiently 36:23	6:22 7:2,4,9 42:17
13:19 17:16 20:10	small 15:15	stamp 12:15	suggested 30:12	42:22
23:1,15,18 24:1	smaller 16:3,10	standard 22:10	31:5	Testing 22:10,12
28:7,8 29:22,23	sold 23:11	35:7	Suite 44:21	35:7,8
30:3,11 31:21	solely 5:3	start 8:20,21 9:15	sulfur 38:10,11,13	thank 9:13 25:19
33:2,19 39:17	soon 42:23	startup 27:6,9,12	38:21	27:2 28:21 29:8
see 4:18 7:6 8:8	sorry 13:6 17:14	27:17,22 28:1,4	summary 5:11	32:11 36:19 39:2
41:24 42:9	20:1 21:16 30:10	29:17,18 30:20	supply 23:6,7,7	41:20 43:21
Seeing 8:11 32:15	31:1	startups 22:7 27:4	24:20 25:3 26:11	things 35:8
42:6 43:19	sort 27:11 31:23	state 1:6 13:21,22	supplying 26:22	think 9:5 11:12,18
seek 11:1	36:4	15:10 44:1	suppose 16:18	12:22 20:21 26:23
selected 34:12	source 14:8 16:12	stated 14:7	sure 4:21 8:23 9:18	36:1 38:4
self-explanatory	16:23 21:15 22:2	statements 6:17	11:11 26:20 27:8	THOMAS 2:4
36:24	23:10 24:12 33:11	states 12:5,6 15:5	29:15 39:5 43:13	three 14:23 33:6
send 11:22 27:19	36:8,17 39:22	15:13,20 21:6	swear 7:13,24	threshold 17:5
sending 18:4	sources 15:15 16:4	30:4 33:15,16	switching 28:11,13	24:11
sends 13:4	16:5,10 19:12,21	statewide 38:14	sworn 5:11 8:5	time 5:24 6:4 8:8
sense 28:14	19:22,24 21:8,23	stating 24:2	Sylvester 31:15,16	8:12 11:6 22:3,11
sent 10:14 18:16	26:17.19 27:16	stationary 38:19	32:4,11 38:6,7,17	24:23 26:8,10,14
separate 33:8 34:1	38:20 40:16,24	status 18:2	39:2	27:16 35:10 43:19
34:8	41:8	statute 11:2	system 15:11	timeframe 17:11
separated 34:15	speak 6:3,8	steam 23:7	system 15.11	34:11
September 3:21,22	speaking 6:4,19	stenographic 44:11	Τ	today 3:10 4:8,13
7:5	8:20 13:19	steps 36:14	take 9:19 11:6	4:18 5:2 7:17
served 43:11	special 11:2	Steve 31:16	28:16 32:10 39:6	
service 43:12,14	specific 12:15 17:5	Steven 1:6 38:6	42:14	42:9,11 43:22
set 3:24 15:19	35:11 37:24 40:14	1	taken 1:6 44:11	today's 3:17 4:20
1	40:15	44:5,20 storm 27:18	takes 27:10 28:12	6:2,6,11 42:19
42:16,20 seven 17:8 33:23			28:15 36:9	told 15:1
	specifically 10:11	straight 9:11	talking 13:3 26:21	Tom 3:12
shop 16:19	21:6	streamline 33:4	27:9	tons 30:15
short 32:20	specifications	Street 44:21	technical 2:5 3:15	trained 37:24
shorthand 44:5,7	22:20 23:12	stringent 14:10,11	10:7,20	transcribed 44:11
shutdown 26:18	specified 33:9	40:9,12	teleconference 3:9	transcribing 6:2
signature 44:13	spelled 26:3	subject 26:4 41:1	6:7.14	transcript 6:5
significant 24:11	spot 28:20	submit 21:10	tell 27:16 28:19	42:23,24 44:9
simple 36:15	Springfield 3:10	submits 20:22 36:8		true 44:9
simply 12:5,5 26:19	4:17,19 7:8 8:9	submittals 17:21	telling 26:17 ten 20:9 34:21	tuning 37:24 40:10
27:10	9:7,10 31:13	submitted 12:13	1	40:13
SIMS 2:10	32:15 38:3 41:22	17:19 19:13	term 13:7 33:19,22 36:23	turn 5:13 9:14
single 34:6	42:1,10,16 43:21	subpart 21:18 23:1		Turning 7:2
situations 20:3	SS 44:2	Subsection 17:14	testify 4:12,17,17	two 14:4 22:5 33:5
26:20	Stack 22:10,11	24:5,22	5:24 42:8	34:5,16 39:6
six 16:16 33:18	35:7,8	Subsection's 23:16	testifying 7:18	two-year 34:11
size 27:7	staff 2:5 3:14 4:7	23:23	testimony 4:2,4,12	type 14:12,16,20
		1	1	1

Page 52

	1	1	1	1
30:7,19 31:6	videoconference	X	201.600 23:2,15	48-hour 28:23
34:14	6:14		201.600(a) 23:16	
types 16:8 27:5	violation 36:5	<u> </u>	23:23	5
28:12 30:4	vortex 26:24	Yeah 38:22	201.600(a)(1) 24:9	5-day 35:12
typically 35:10		year 30:16 38:16	201.600(a)(2) 39:17	6
U		years 34:16	201.600(c) 25:10	60603 44:22
ultra 38:11,13	want 12:14 16:21 25:2	Z	201.600(d) 24:5	63.11237 25:21
unable 18:3	wants 16:19		2016 1:7 3:20,21,23	28:10,24
unclear 37:8	wasn't 13:22	0	4:1,5,6,11 5:21	63.7575 29:11
understand 21:9	water 23:6	0.05 39:23	7:5 42:15,17,21	
35:18,22	water 23.0 way 10:2 21:20	084-004675 44:23	44:15	7
understanding	27:13,14 32:22		21 29:20	-
12:11 19:21	35:22 37:10,22	1	21(a) 29:21	
unit 3:16 10:12	ways 33:17	100 24:14	211 1:3 3:6	8 44:21
14:18,21,22 17:6	we'll 5:7 7:8 28:17	11 20:14 34:22	22 30:8 22nd 3:22 7:5	8A 17:15
20:7 21:1,14,15	32:19 39:5,10	12 20:20 21:12	23 30:10,11	8B 17:16
21:17,18,21,24	we're 6:14 28:5	34:24	23 s0.10,11 23rd 3:20	8C 17:23
22:21 24:17 27:6	40:14	12th 4:1,5	2310 3.20 24 30:14	8D 18:3
33:8	we've 29:20 36:1	13 21:23 35:6	24 30:14 24A 31:3	8th 3:21
United 15:5	web 43:17	14 22:9 35:14	24B 31:4	9
units 14:6,11 17:2	website 43:1	14A 35:15	26th 1:6	90 11:2
24:10 26:22 28:12	week 22:7	15 22:15 35:17	28.6 33:2	9th 42:21
34:5,15	Welcome 3:2	38:20	2nd 42:17,20	yth 12.23
unknown 12:20	West 44:21	16 22:18 36:21	*	
17:12	Wisconsin 16:9	16(a)(1)23:3	3	
unlimited 27:24	wishes 5:12 42:8	16th 5:21 42:15	3 23:19	
unresolved 30:9	witness 4:8,10 5:8	17 24:2 37:1	30 11:8,15,20 13:9	
updates 32:20,23	5:10,14,23 7:12	18 24:8 37:8 39:15	13:13 18:24 19:1	
33:3	8:1 42:4,7 44:13	19 24:17 37:12 40:8	19:6,10,13,23	
use 16:7,24 19:23	witness's 5:13	19(a) 37:19	35:9	
22:22 23:5 24:18	witnesses 6:22 8:4	19th 4:6,11 1A 10:5	30-day 18:9 35:11	
25:1 26:14 27:24	wording 24:4	1B 10:23	312 44:22	
32:5 38:20,22	worked 34:19	1C 11:4	35 1:2 3:6 15:19	
users 23:11	working 12:8 33:24	10 11.4	34:12	
uses 33:19	wouldn't 28:20	2	39.12 29:22,24	
usually 10:8 22:19	wraps 42:1	2 23:14 24:9	31:19,21	
utility 17:11	write 22:13	20 29:15	39.12(c) 30:3	
V	writing 29:13 40:6	200.600 25:9	39.5 20:10,10	
value 37:6	written 25:11,12 28:6,18 29:7	2007 44:21	4	
values 24:9	33:11 37:11 43:4	201 1:3 3:6 20:7	40 25:20 28:9,24	
verify 22:13	www.ipcb.state.il	201.104 25:22	29:10	
version 43:14	43:2	201.146 15:19	419-9292 44:22	
video 42:15		201.501(a)(8) 34:13	48 24:18,23,24 25:2	
		201.505 23:18 24:1		
	l		1	

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.